Всё, что вы хотели узнать про автовакуум в PostgreSQL



Ilya Kosmodemiansky ik@postgresql-consulting.com



- What is it and why is it so important?
- Aggressiveness of autovacuum
- What else important can autovacuum daemon do
- Autovacuum and replication
- How to remove bloat



- autovacuum = off
- Autovacuum settings are default



- autovacuum = off
- Autovacuum settings are default
- That means there is a lot we can do about improving performance of this particular database



Modern (classical) databases must deal with two fundamental problems:

• Concurrent operations

For that they can transactions, ACID transactions

• Failures

For that they can recover to the last successful transaction using WAL



Technically that means

- There is a combination of locking and MVCC algorithms that provides transactions support
- Undo and Redo information is stored somewhere to make recovery possible



In PostgreSQL

- Redo in WAL
- Undo directly in datafiles
- UPDATE = INSERT + DELETE
- DELETE is just marking tuple as invisible



```
tt=# INSERT into test(id) values(5);
INSERT 0 1
tt=# select *,xmin,xmax from test;
id | xmin | xmax
----+------
5 | 1266 | 0
(5 rows)
```

```
tt=# select txid_current();
   txid_current
```

1267

(1 row)

```
PostgreSQL-Consulting.com
```



```
tt=# begin;
BEGIN
tt=# UPDATE test set id=5 where id=4;
UPDATE 1
```

```
In another session:
```

```
tt=# select *,xmin,xmax from test;
id | xmin | xmax
----+------
4 | 1264 | 1270
(3 rows)
```



Tuples that are not visible to any running transaction should be removed

- Otherwise fragmentation increases and you run into bloat aka Big Data
- autovacuum workers do that, table by table
- Old-fashioned VACUUM is a bad choice

Beside that, autovacuum workers

- Collect statistics for the optimizer
- Perform wraparound for txid



Tuples that are not visible to any running transaction should be removed

- Otherwise fragmentation increases and you run into bloat aka Big Data
- autovacuum workers do that, table by table
- Old-fashioned VACUUM is a bad choice

Beside that, autovacuum workers

- Collect statistics for the optimizer
- Perform wraparound for txid

You do not want to turn autovacuum off!



- If your autovacuum process runs for hours and interferes with some DDL, to simply terminate it is not an option
- Especially for OLTP, autovacuum should be configured **aggressively enough**: so it can work with small portions of data quickly



autovacuum: aggressive enough

postgres=# select name, setting, context from pg_settings where category $\tilde{\ }$ 'Autovacuum';

name	1	setting	1	context
autovacuum autovacuum_analyze_scale_factor autovacuum_analyze_threshold	 	on 0.05 50	 	sighup sighup sighup
autovacuum_freeze_max_age	I	200000000	I	postmaster
autovacuum_max_workers	I	10	Ι	postmaster
autovacuum_multixact_freeze_max_age	I	40000000	Ι	postmaster
autovacuum_naptime	I	60	Ι	sighup
autovacuum_vacuum_cost_delay	I	20	Ι	sighup
autovacuum_vacuum_cost_limit	I	-1	Ι	sighup
autovacuum_vacuum_scale_factor	I	0.01	Ι	sighup
autovacuum_vacuum_threshold	I	50	Ι	sighup
(11 rows)				



in crontab:

```
* * * * * /usr/bin/pgrep -f 'postgres: autovacuum' | xargs --no-run-if-empty -I $ renice -n 20 -p $ >/dev/null 2>/dev/null
* * * * * /usr/bin/pgrep -f 'postgres: autovacuum' | xargs --no-run-if-empty -I $ ionice -c 3 -t -p $
```

in postgresql.conf:

autovacuum_max_workers \rightarrow 10-20 and autovacuum_vacuum_cost_delay \rightarrow 10







- The tuple, cleaned up by autovacuum on master, is still in use by some query on hot standby
- hot_standby_feedback = on The safest way, in spite of some bloat on master



- autovacuum does not remove existing bloat
- dump/restore can be an option, but...
- http://reorg.github.io/pg_repack/
- https://github.com/PostgreSQL-Consulting/pgcompacttable



ik@postgresql-consulting.com